Exact Thermodynamics of the Uimin-Sutherland Model via Nonlinear Integral Equations

Jens Damerau Andreas Klümper

Fachbereich C – Theoretische Physik Bergische Universität Wuppertal

XVIth International Colloquium on Integrable Systems and Quantum Symmetries, Prague 2007



Outline

Introduction

Definition of the Uimin-Sutherland model Applications of the model

2 Derivation of nonlinear integral equations

Quantum transfer matrix approach to thermodynamics Definition of suitable auxiliary functions Finite set of nonlinear integral equations

3 Numerical results

SU(4) spin-orbital model Essler-Korepin-Schoutens model

4 Summary and outlook

Definition of the Uimin-Sutherland model

Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_0 \qquad = J \sum_{j=1}^L \pi_{j,j+1}$$

- One-dimensional lattice with *L* sites, periodic boundary conditions.
- Each site *j* carries a spin variable $\alpha_j \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$.
- Local Hamiltonian $\pi_{j,j+1}$ is the graded permutation operator,

$$\pi_{j,j+1}|\ldots \alpha_j \alpha_{j+1} \ldots\rangle = (-1)^{p(\alpha_j)p(\alpha_{j+1})}|\ldots \alpha_{j+1} \alpha_j \ldots\rangle.$$

• Invariant under graded symmetry group SU(r|s), where q = r + s.

Definition of the Uimin-Sutherland model

Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_{ext} = J \sum_{j=1}^{L} \pi_{j,j+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{q} \mu_{\alpha} n_{j,\alpha}$$

- One-dimensional lattice with *L* sites, periodic boundary conditions.
- Each site j carries a spin variable $\alpha_j \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$.
- Local Hamiltonian $\pi_{j,j+1}$ is the graded permutation operator,

$$\pi_{j,j+1}|\ldots \alpha_j \alpha_{j+1} \ldots\rangle = (-1)^{p(\alpha_j)p(\alpha_{j+1})}|\ldots \alpha_{j+1} \alpha_j \ldots\rangle.$$

- Invariant under graded symmetry group SU(r|s), where q = r + s.
- External fields may be added via generic chemical potentials μ_{α} .

Many interesting models are of Uimin-Sutherland type.

• Spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain:

$$[q = 2, r = 2, s = 0]$$

•
$$\mathcal{H}_0 = J \sum_{j=1}^{L} (2 S_j S_{j+1} + 1/2)$$

Many interesting models are of Uimin-Sutherland type.

• Spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain: [q = 2, r = 2, s = 0]

$$\blacktriangleright \mathcal{H}_0 = J \sum_{j=1} (2 \boldsymbol{S}_j \boldsymbol{S}_{j+1} + 1/2)$$

• Supersymmetric *t*-*J* model (2t = J): [q = 3, r = 2, s = 1]

$$\blacktriangleright \mathcal{H}_{0} = t \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sum_{\sigma} \mathcal{P}(c_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j+1,\sigma} + c_{j,\sigma} c_{j+1,\sigma}^{\dagger}) \mathcal{P} + J \sum_{j=1}^{L} (\boldsymbol{S}_{j} \boldsymbol{S}_{j+1} - n_{j} n_{j+1}/4)$$

Many interesting models are of Uimin-Sutherland type.

Spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain: [q = 2, r = 2, s = 0]

 *H*₀ = *J* ∑^L(2**S**:**S**₁₊₁ + 1/2)

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = J \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2\mathbf{S}_j \mathbf{S}_{j+1} + 1/2)$$

• Supersymmetric t-J model (2t = J):

$$\blacktriangleright \mathcal{H}_{0} = t \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sum_{\sigma} \mathcal{P}(c_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j+1,\sigma} + c_{j,\sigma} c_{j+1,\sigma}^{\dagger}) \mathcal{P} + J \sum_{j=1}^{L} (\boldsymbol{S}_{j} \boldsymbol{S}_{j+1} - n_{j} n_{j+1}/4)$$

• *SU*(4) spin-orbital model:

•
$$\mathcal{H}_0 = J \sum_{j=1}^{L} (2 \mathbf{S}_j \mathbf{S}_{j+1} + 1/2) (2 \tau_j \tau_{j+1} + 1/2)$$

[q = 3, r = 2, s = 1]

[q = 4, r = 4, s = 0]

Many interesting models are of Uimin-Sutherland type.

Spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain: [q = 2, r = 2, s = 0]

 *H*₀ = J ∑^L(2**S**_i**S**_{i+1} + 1/2)

$$\pi_0 = J \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2\mathbf{S}_j \mathbf{S}_{j+1} + 1).$$

Essler-Korepin-Schoutens model:

• Supersymmetric *t*-*J* model (2t = J): [q = 3, r = 2, s = 1]

$$\blacktriangleright \mathcal{H}_{0} = t \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sum_{\sigma} \mathcal{P}(c_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j+1,\sigma} + c_{j,\sigma} c_{j+1,\sigma}^{\dagger}) \mathcal{P} + J \sum_{j=1}^{L} (\boldsymbol{S}_{j} \boldsymbol{S}_{j+1} - n_{j} n_{j+1}/4)$$

•
$$\mathcal{H}_0 = J \sum_{j=1}^{L} (2 \mathbf{S}_j \mathbf{S}_{j+1} + 1/2) (2 \tau_j \tau_{j+1} + 1/2)$$

[q = 4, r = 2, s = 2]

[q = 4, r = 4, s = 0]

•
$$\mathcal{H}_0 = J \sum_{j=1}^{L} [(c_{j,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j+1,\uparrow} + c_{j,\uparrow} c_{j+1,\uparrow}^{\dagger})(1 - n_{j,\downarrow} - n_{j+1,\downarrow}) + \dots]$$

Quantum transfer matrix approach to thermodynamics

Goal

Calculation of the partition function, $Z = \text{Tr } e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}}$.

Goal

Calculation of the partition function, $Z = \text{Tr } e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}}$.

Reformulation of the problem:

 Partition function of the Uimin-Sutherland model is equal to that of a two-dimensional classical vertex model (L × N staggered Perk-Schultz model in the limit N → ∞).

2 Construct a suitable 'quantum' transfer matrix (QTM).

$$\Rightarrow Z = \lim_{N \to \infty} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{T}_{QTM}(0))^{L} (\mathcal{T}_{QTM})^{\{\alpha'\}}_{\{\alpha\}}(v) = \sum_{\{\nu\}} e^{\beta \mu_{\nu_{1}}} \prod_{j=1}^{N/2} R^{\alpha'_{2j-1}\nu_{2j}}_{\alpha_{2j-1}\nu_{2j-1}}(iv - \beta/N) \widetilde{R}^{\alpha'_{2j}\nu_{2j+1}}_{\alpha_{2j}\nu_{2j}}(iv + \beta/N)$$

Goal

Calculation of the partition function, $Z = \text{Tr } e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}}$.

Reformulation of the problem:

 Partition function of the Uimin-Sutherland model is equal to that of a two-dimensional classical vertex model (L × N staggered Perk-Schultz model in the limit N → ∞).

2 Construct a suitable 'quantum' transfer matrix (QTM).

$$\Rightarrow Z = \lim_{N \to \infty} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{T}_{QTM}(0))^{L} (\mathcal{T}_{QTM})^{\{\alpha'\}}_{\{\alpha\}}(v) = \sum_{\{\nu\}} e^{\beta \mu_{\nu_{1}}} \prod_{j=1}^{N/2} R^{\alpha'_{2j-1}\nu_{2j}}_{\alpha_{2j-1}\nu_{2j-1}}(iv - \beta/N) \widetilde{R}^{\alpha'_{2j}\nu_{2j+1}}_{\alpha_{2j}\nu_{2j}}(iv + \beta/N)$$

3 Consider large systems: Thermodynamic limit.

$$\Rightarrow \quad f = -\lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{1}{L\beta} \ln Z = -\frac{1}{\beta} \lim_{N \to \infty} \ln \Lambda_{\max}(0)$$

Diagonalization of the quantum transfer matrix

• Quantum transfer matrices form a commuting family:

$$[\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{QTM}}(v), \mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{QTM}}(v')] = 0 \quad \text{for all } v, v' \in \mathbb{C} \,.$$

Diagonalization of the quantum transfer matrix

• Quantum transfer matrices form a commuting family:

$$[\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{QTM}}(v),\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{QTM}}(v')]=0 \quad \text{for all } v,v'\in\mathbb{C}\,.$$

• Diagonalization of $T_{QTM}(v)$ is possible by Bethe ansatz:

$$\Lambda(v) = \sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j(v) = \sum_{j=1}^q \Phi_-(v) \Phi_+(v) \frac{q_{j-1}(v - \mathrm{i}\epsilon_j)}{q_{j-1}(v)} \frac{q_j(v + \mathrm{i}\epsilon_j)}{q_j(v)} \mathrm{e}^{\beta \mu_j}$$

• Bethe ansatz equations ensure that eigenvalues are free of poles:

$$rac{\lambda_j(\mathbf{v}_{k_j}^j)}{\lambda_{j+1}(\mathbf{v}_{k_j}^j)} = -1 \quad ext{for all roots } \mathbf{v}_{k_j}^j ext{ of polynomials } q_j(\mathbf{v}) \,.$$

Diagonalization of the quantum transfer matrix

• Quantum transfer matrices form a commuting family:

$$[\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{QTM}}(v),\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{QTM}}(v')]=0 \quad \text{for all } v,v'\in\mathbb{C}\,.$$

• Diagonalization of $T_{QTM}(v)$ is possible by Bethe ansatz:

$$\Lambda(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \lambda_j(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \Phi_-(v) \Phi_+(v) \frac{q_{j-1}(v - \mathrm{i}\epsilon_j)}{q_{j-1}(v)} \frac{q_j(v + \mathrm{i}\epsilon_j)}{q_j(v)} \mathrm{e}^{\beta \mu_j}$$

• Bethe ansatz equations ensure that eigenvalues are free of poles:

$$rac{\lambda_j(v_{k_j}^j)}{\lambda_{j+1}(v_{k_j}^j)} = -1 \quad ext{for all roots } v_{k_j}^j ext{ of polynomials } q_j(v) \,.$$

• Largest eigenvalue: N(q-1)/2 many coupled nonlinear equations.

Definition of suitable auxiliary functions

Problem

Infinitely many equations have to be solved in the limit $N \to \infty$.

Definition of suitable auxiliary functions

Problem

Infinitely many equations have to be solved in the limit $N \to \infty$.

Solution

Definition of suitable auxiliary functions

Problem

Infinitely many equations have to be solved in the limit $N \to \infty$.

Solution

Reformulation in terms of nonlinear integral equations.

• [*q* = 2, *r* = 2, *s* = 0]: 2 auxiliary *b*-functions

►
$$b_1^{(1)}(v) = \frac{\lambda_1(v + i/2)}{\lambda_2(v + i/2)}, \quad b_2^{(1)}(v) = \frac{\lambda_2(v - i/2)}{\lambda_1(v - i/2)}$$

Infinitely many equations have to be solved in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Solution

Reformulation in terms of nonlinear integral equations.

[q = 2, r = 2, s = 0]: 2 auxiliary *b*-functions
 [q = 3, r = 2, s = 1]: 3 auxiliary *b*-functions

$$b_1^{(1)}(v) = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3} \bigg|_{(v+i/2)}, \ b_2^{(1)}(v) = \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \bigg|_{(v-i/2)}$$
$$b_1^{(2)}(v) = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_3}{\lambda_2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)} \bigg|_{(v)}$$

Infinitely many equations have to be solved in the limit $N \to \infty$.

Solution

- [*q* = 2, *r* = 2, *s* = 0]: 2 auxiliary *b*-functions
- [*q* = 3, *r* = 2, *s* = 1]: 3 auxiliary *b*-functions
- [*q* = 4, *r* = 4, *s* = 0]: 14 auxiliary *b*-functions
- [*q* = 4, *r* = 2, *s* = 2]: 6 auxiliary *b*-functions

Infinitely many equations have to be solved in the limit $N \to \infty$.

Solution

- [*q* = 2, *r* = 2, *s* = 0]: 2 auxiliary *b*-functions
- [*q* = 3, *r* = 2, *s* = 1]: 3 auxiliary *b*-functions
- [q = 4, r = 4, s = 0]: 14 auxiliary *b*-functions
- [*q* = 4, *r* = 2, *s* = 2]: 6 auxiliary *b*-functions
- 1 Zeros and poles of functions $b_j^{(n)}$ and $b_j^{(n)} + 1$ located in groups along slightly curved lines of approximately same imaginary parts.

Infinitely many equations have to be solved in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Solution

- [*q* = 2, *r* = 2, *s* = 0]: 2 auxiliary *b*-functions
- [*q* = 3, *r* = 2, *s* = 1]: 3 auxiliary *b*-functions
- [q = 4, r = 4, s = 0]: 14 auxiliary *b*-functions
- [*q* = 4, *r* = 2, *s* = 2]: 6 auxiliary *b*-functions
- **1** Zeros and poles of functions $b_j^{(n)}$ and $b_j^{(n)} + 1$ located in groups along slightly curved lines of approximately same imaginary parts.
- Pactorization, exploit structure in Fourier space. (Lengthy!)

Infinitely many equations have to be solved in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Solution

- [q = 2, r = 2, s = 0]: 2 auxiliary *b*-functions
- [*q* = 3, *r* = 2, *s* = 1]: 3 auxiliary *b*-functions
- [q = 4, r = 4, s = 0]: 14 auxiliary *b*-functions
- [*q* = 4, *r* = 2, *s* = 2]: 6 auxiliary *b*-functions
- Zeros and poles of functions b_j⁽ⁿ⁾ and b_j⁽ⁿ⁾ + 1 located in groups along slightly curved lines of approximately same imaginary parts.
 Factorization, exploit structure in Fourier space. (Lengthy!)
 All functions ln b_j⁽ⁿ⁾ can be written in terms of ln(b_j⁽ⁿ⁾ + 1). Closed set of equations, N → ∞ is possible.

Finite set of nonlinear integral equations

Generic form

$$\ln b_j^{(n)}(v) = -\beta (V^{(n)}(v) + c_j^{(n)}) - \sum_{k,m} \left[K_{j,k}^{(n,m)} * \ln(b_k^{(m)} + 1) \right](v)$$
$$\ln \Lambda_{\max}(0) = -\beta e_0 + \sum_{j,n} \left[V^{(n)} * \ln(b_j^{(n)} + 1) \right](0)$$

- Admits analytical solution in high- and low-temperature limits.
- Numerical solution by iteration using Fast Fourier Transform algorithm to calculate convolutions.

Finite set of nonlinear integral equations

Generic form

$$\ln b_j^{(n)}(v) = -\beta (V^{(n)}(v) + c_j^{(n)}) - \sum_{k,m} \left[K_{j,k}^{(n,m)} * \ln(b_k^{(m)} + 1) \right](v)$$
$$\ln \Lambda_{\max}(0) = -\beta e_0 + \sum_{j,n} \left[V^{(n)} * \ln(b_j^{(n)} + 1) \right](0)$$

- Admits analytical solution in high- and low-temperature limits.
- Numerical solution by iteration using Fast Fourier Transform algorithm to calculate convolutions.
- Good numerical precision: Typically around 4 to 6 digits.
- Derivatives can also be calculated directly:
 - Entropy, specific heat, magnetization, susceptibilities,



Magnetic field couples to spins and orbitals:

- $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 g_S h S^z g_\tau h \tau^z$, with $g_S = 2$, $g_\tau = 1$
- Basis vectors: $|\uparrow s \uparrow \tau \rangle, |\uparrow s \downarrow \tau \rangle, |\downarrow s \uparrow \tau \rangle, |\downarrow s \downarrow \tau \rangle$
- Three critical fields:
 - $h_{c1} \approx 0.370$
 - $h_{c2} \approx 0.941$

•
$$h_{c3} = 4$$

• Divergence of the magnetic susceptibility at all critical fields



Magnetic field couples to spins and orbitals:

- $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 g_S h S^z g_\tau h \tau^z$, with $g_S = 2$, $g_\tau = 1$
- Basis vectors: $|\uparrow s \uparrow \tau \rangle$, $|\uparrow s \downarrow \tau \rangle$, $|\downarrow s \uparrow \tau \rangle$, $|\downarrow s \downarrow \tau \rangle$
- Three critical fields:
 - $h_{c1} \approx 0.370$
 - $h_{c2} \approx 0.941$

•
$$h_{c3} = 4$$

- Divergence of the magnetic susceptibility at all critical fields
- Ground state above h_{c1} : $|\uparrow s \uparrow \tau \rangle$, $|\uparrow s \downarrow \tau \rangle$, $|\downarrow s \uparrow \tau \rangle$, $\downarrow \downarrow s \downarrow \tau \rangle$



Magnetic field couples to spins and orbitals:

- $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 g_S h S^z g_\tau h \tau^z$, with $g_S = 2$, $g_\tau = 1$
- Basis vectors: $|\uparrow s \uparrow \tau \rangle$, $|\uparrow s \downarrow \tau \rangle$, $|\downarrow s \uparrow \tau \rangle$, $|\downarrow s \downarrow \tau \rangle$
- Three critical fields:
 - $h_{c1} \approx 0.370$
 - $h_{c2} \approx 0.941$

•
$$h_{c3} = 4$$

- Divergence of the magnetic susceptibility at all critical fields
- Ground state above h_{c2} : $|\uparrow s \uparrow \tau \rangle$, $|\uparrow s \downarrow \tau \rangle$, $\downarrow s \uparrow \tau \rangle$, $\downarrow s \downarrow \tau \rangle$



Magnetic field couples to spins and orbitals:

- $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 g_S h S^z g_\tau h \tau^z$, with $g_S = 2$, $g_\tau = 1$
- Basis vectors: $|\uparrow s \uparrow \tau \rangle$, $|\uparrow s \downarrow \tau \rangle$, $|\downarrow s \uparrow \tau \rangle$, $|\downarrow s \downarrow \tau \rangle$
- Three critical fields:
 - $h_{c1} \approx 0.370$
 - $h_{c2} \approx 0.941$

•
$$h_{c3} = 4$$

- Divergence of the magnetic susceptibility at all critical fields
- Ground state above h_{c3} : $|\uparrow s \uparrow \tau \rangle$, $\downarrow s \downarrow \tau \uparrow$, $\downarrow s \uparrow \tau \uparrow$, $\downarrow s \downarrow \tau \uparrow$



Additional chemical potential and Hubbard parameter:

•
$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 - \mu \mathcal{N}$$

+ $U \sum_j (n_{j,\uparrow} - 1/2) (n_{j,\downarrow} - 1/2)$

• Basis vectors:
$$|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |0\rangle$$

- Fixed density $n(\mu) = 1.0$
- Critical Hubbard parameters:

•
$$U_{c1} = 0$$

• $U_{c2} = 4 \ln 2 \approx 2.77$



Additional chemical potential and Hubbard parameter:

•
$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 - \mu \mathcal{N}$$

+ $U \sum_j (n_{j,\uparrow} - 1/2) (n_{j,\downarrow} - 1/2)$

• Basis vectors:
$$|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |0\rangle$$

- Fixed density $n(\mu) = 1.0$
- Critical Hubbard parameters:

•
$$U_{c1} = 0$$

- $U_{c2} = 4 \ln 2 \approx 2.77$
- Ground state below U_{c1} : $\downarrow \uparrow \rangle, \downarrow \downarrow \rangle, |\uparrow \downarrow \rangle, |0\rangle$



Additional chemical potential and Hubbard parameter:

•
$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 - \mu \mathcal{N}$$

+ $U \sum_j (n_{j,\uparrow} - 1/2) (n_{j,\downarrow} - 1/2)$

• Basis vectors:
$$|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |0\rangle$$

- Fixed density $n(\mu) = 1.0$
- Critical Hubbard parameters:

•
$$U_{c1} = 0$$

•
$$U_{c2} = 4 \ln 2 \approx 2.77$$

• Ground state $U_{c1} < U < U_{c2}$: $|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |0\rangle$



Additional chemical potential and Hubbard parameter:

•
$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 - \mu \mathcal{N}$$

+ $U \sum_j (n_{j,\uparrow} - 1/2) (n_{j,\downarrow} - 1/2)$

• Basis vectors:
$$|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |0\rangle$$

- Fixed density $n(\mu) = 1.0$
- Critical Hubbard parameters:

•
$$U_{c1} = 0$$

- $U_{c2} = 4 \ln 2 \approx 2.77$
- Ground state above U_{c2} : $|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, \downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, \downarrow\rangle$

• Summary

- Nonlinear integral equations are well suited for numerical as well as analytical treatment of the Uimin-Sutherland model
- Known for physically interesting models ($q \le 4$ & all gradings)
- ▶ Recent developments: SU(4) spin-orbital model, EKS model (q = 4)

• Summary

- Nonlinear integral equations are well suited for numerical as well as analytical treatment of the Uimin-Sutherland model
- Known for physically interesting models ($q \le 4$ & all gradings)
- ▶ Recent developments: SU(4) spin-orbital model, EKS model (q = 4)

Outlook

- Generalization to arbitrary US models is still difficult (Conjectures for q = 5)
- Current work: SU(4|1) spin-orbital model with mobile defects

• Summary

- Nonlinear integral equations are well suited for numerical as well as analytical treatment of the Uimin-Sutherland model
- Known for physically interesting models ($q \le 4$ & all gradings)
- ▶ Recent developments: SU(4) spin-orbital model, EKS model (q = 4)

Outlook

- Generalization to arbitrary US models is still difficult (Conjectures for q = 5)
- Current work: SU(4|1) spin-orbital model with mobile defects
- Further reading
 - J. Damerau and A. Klümper, J. Stat. Mech. (2006) P12014

Essler-Korepin-Schoutens model: Complete Hamiltonian Appendix

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= J \sum_{j=1}^{L} [(c_{j,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j+1,\uparrow} + c_{j,\uparrow} c_{j+1,\uparrow}^{\dagger})(1 - n_{j,\downarrow} - n_{j+1,\downarrow}) \\ &+ (c_{j,\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{j+1,\downarrow} + c_{j,\downarrow} c_{j+1,\downarrow}^{\dagger})(1 - n_{j,\uparrow} - n_{j+1,\uparrow}) \\ &+ (n_{j} - 1)(n_{j+1} - 1)/2 - (n_{j,\uparrow} - n_{j,\downarrow})(n_{j+1,\uparrow} - n_{j+1,\downarrow})/2 \\ &+ c_{j,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j,\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{j+1,\downarrow} c_{j+1,\uparrow} + c_{j,\uparrow} c_{j,\downarrow} c_{j+1,\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{j+1,\uparrow}^{\dagger} \\ &- c_{j,\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{j,\uparrow} c_{j+1,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j+1,\downarrow} - c_{j,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j,\downarrow} c_{j+1,\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{j+1,\uparrow} \\ &+ (n_{j,\uparrow} - 1/2)(n_{j,\downarrow} - 1/2) + (n_{j+1,\uparrow} - 1/2)(n_{j+1,\downarrow} - 1/2)] \\ &+ U \sum_{j=1}^{L} (n_{j,\uparrow} - 1/2)(n_{j,\downarrow} - 1/2) - \mu \mathcal{N} \end{aligned}$$

Auxiliary functions for the SU(4) case Appendix

$$\begin{split} b_{1}^{(1)}(v) &= \frac{1}{[2+3]+4|} \Big|_{v+i/2} \quad b_{4}^{(1)}(v) &= \frac{4}{[1+2]+3|} \Big|_{v-i/2} \quad b_{4}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{\frac{1}{[3]} \cdot \frac{2}{[3]}}{\left(\frac{1}{[4]}+\frac{1}{[3]}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{[2]}+\frac{1}{[3]}+\frac{1}{[3]}+\frac{1}{[3]}\right)|_{v}} \\ b_{2}^{(1)}(v) &= \frac{\frac{1}{[2]} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{[3]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{3}{[4]}\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{[3]}+\frac{1}{[4]}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{[2]}+\frac{1}{[3]}+\frac{1}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[3]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{3}{[4]}\right)|_{v}} \quad b_{5}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{\frac{1}{[2]} \cdot \frac{2}{[4]}}{\left(\frac{1}{[2]}+\frac{1}{[3]}+\frac{1}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[3]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{3}{[4]}\right)|_{v-i/2}} \\ b_{3}^{(1)}(v) &= \frac{\frac{1}{[3]} \cdot \frac{3}{[4]}}{\left(\frac{1}{[4]}+\frac{1}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[3]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{3}{[4]}\right)|_{v}} \quad b_{6}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{\frac{3}{[4]}}{\left(\frac{1}{[2]}+\frac{1}{[3]}+\frac{1}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[3]}+\frac{2}{[4]}\right)|_{v-i/2}} \\ b_{1}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{\frac{1}{[2]}}{\left(\frac{1}{[3]}+\frac{1}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[3]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{3}{[4]}\right)|_{v+i/2}} \quad b_{1}^{(3)}(v) &= \frac{\frac{1}{[2]}}{\frac{1}{[2]}+\frac{1}{[3]}+\frac{1}{[3]}+\frac{2}{[3]}\right)|_{v-i/2}} \\ b_{2}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{\frac{1}{[3]} \cdot \frac{3}{[4]}}{\left(\frac{1}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}\right)\left(\frac{2}{[3]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{3}{[4]}\right)|_{v+i/2}} \quad b_{1}^{(3)}(v) &= \frac{\frac{1}{[2]}}{\frac{1}{[2]}+\frac{1}{[3]}+\frac{1}{[3]}+\frac{2}{[2]}\right)|_{v} \\ b_{2}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{\frac{1}{[3]} \cdot \frac{3}{[4]}}{\left(\frac{1}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[3]}\right)\left(\frac{2}{[3]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{3}{[4]}\right)|_{v} \\ b_{2}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{\frac{1}{[3]} \cdot \frac{3}{[4]}}{\left(\frac{1}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{3}{[4]}\right)\left(\frac{2}{[2]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{3}{[4]}\right)|_{v} \\ b_{3}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{\frac{1}{[3]} \cdot \frac{2}{[4]}}{\left(\frac{1}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}\right)|_{v} \\ b_{3}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{\frac{1}{[3]} \cdot \frac{2}{[4]}}{\left(\frac{1}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}\right)|_{v} \\ b_{3}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{\frac{3}{[3]} \cdot \frac{2}{[4]}}{\left(\frac{1}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}\right)|_{v} \\ b_{3}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{\frac{3}{[3]} \cdot \frac{2}{[4]}}{\left(\frac{1}{[2]}+\frac{1}{[3]}+\frac{1}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[3]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}\right)|_{v} \\ b_{3}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{\frac{3}{[3]} \cdot \frac{2}{[4]}}{\left(\frac{1}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}\right)|_{v} \\ b_{3}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{\frac{3}{[3]} \cdot \frac{2}{[4]}}{\left(\frac{1}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}\right)|_{v} \\ b_{3}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{\frac{3}{[3]} \cdot \frac{2}{[4]}}{\left(\frac{1}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}+\frac{2}{[4]}\right)|_{v} \\ b_{3}^{$$

 $\boxed{I} = \lambda_j(\mathbf{v}) \qquad \boxed{\frac{I}{k}} = \lambda_j(\mathbf{v} - i/2)\lambda_k(\mathbf{v} + i/2) \qquad \boxed{\frac{I}{k}} = \lambda_j(\mathbf{v} - i)\lambda_k(\mathbf{v})\lambda_l(\mathbf{v} + i) \qquad (j \le k \le l)$

Auxiliary functions for the SU(2|2) case Appendix

$$\begin{split} b_{1}^{(1)}(v) &= \frac{111 + 12 + 13 + 14 + 23 + 24 + 34 + 44}{12 + 13 + 14 + 22 + 22 + 22 + 23 + 24 + 33 + 34} \bigg|_{v} \\ b_{2,1}^{(1)}(v) &= \frac{111 + 12 + 13 + 144}{23 + 24 + 34 + 444} \bigg|_{v+i/2} \quad b_{2,2}^{(1)}(v) &= \frac{114 + 24 + 344 + 444}{11 + 12 + 13 + 213} \bigg|_{v-i/2} \\ b_{1,1}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{1 + 22 + 3 + 44}{1 + 22} \bigg|_{v} \cdot \frac{33 + 34}{23 + 24 + 344 + 444} \bigg|_{v+i/2} \\ b_{1,2}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{1 + 22 + 3 + 44}{3 + 44} \bigg|_{v} \cdot \frac{12 + 22}{21 + 22 + 23 + 24 + 344 + 444} \bigg|_{v-i/2} \\ b_{1,2}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{14 + 24 + 344 + 444}{3 + 444} \bigg|_{v} \cdot \frac{12 + 22}{11 + 12 + 13 + 23} \bigg|_{v-i/2} \\ b_{2}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{14 + 2 + 3 + 44}{3 + 44} \bigg|_{v} \cdot \frac{12 + 22}{3 + 24 + 34 + 444} \bigg|_{v-i/2} \\ b_{2}^{(2)}(v) &= \frac{14 + (12 + 13 + 14 + 22 + 23 + 24 + 34 + 444)}{23 + (11 + 12 + 13 + 14 + 23 + 24 + 34 + 444)} \bigg|_{v} \end{split}$$

 $\boxed{j} = \lambda_j(\mathbf{v}) \qquad \boxed{\frac{j}{k}} = \lambda_j(\mathbf{v} - i/2)\lambda_k(\mathbf{v} + i/2) \qquad \boxed{j} \boxed{k} = \lambda_j(\mathbf{v} + i/2)\lambda_k(\mathbf{v} - i/2)$

SU(4) spin-orbital model at $g_S=1$, $g_ au=0$ Appendix



Magnetic susceptibility at h = 0:

- Ground-state value known from conformal field theory: $\chi(0) = 2/\pi^2 \approx 0.2026$
- At $T = 10^{-10}$ still well above that value
- Infinite slope due to logarithmic corrections

SU(4) spin-orbital model at $g_S=1$, $g_ au=0$ Appendix



Jens Damerau (BU Wuppertal)

Thermodynamics of the US Model

ISQS 16, Prague 07 16 / 11

SU(4) spin-orbital model at $g_S=$ 2, $g_ au=$ 1 Appendix



Jens Damerau (BU Wuppertal)

Thermodynamics of the US Model

ISQS 16, Prague 07 17 / 11



Jens Damerau (BU Wuppertal)

Thermodynamics of the US Model